Advanced Mathematical Foundations and Dynamics of the 6DT Framework

Blake Burns (blake.burns@gmail.com)
Blake Burns Technologies Inc.

March 9, 2026

Abstract

This paper presents the Advanced Mathematical Foundations and Dynamics of the 6DT (Six-Dimensional Vectorized Time) Spacetime Framework. Challenging traditional Kaluza-Klein paradigms, the 6DT model introduces a pseudo-Riemannian manifold wherein standard four-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime is embedded within a geometry featuring a three-component ”vector time” coordinate system. We derive the exact block inversion of the metric tensor via Schur complements, formulate the differential geometry of the 6D manifold, and establish the ”Stoke” power concept—a relativistic covariant power scalar predicting mass-energy exchange between the 4D brane and the 6D bulk. Furthermore, we demonstrate the quantum consistency of the framework through rigorous BRST quantization, ensuring the decoupling of perturbative ghost states.

1 Introduction to the Six-Dimensional Vectorized Time Manifold

The historical trajectory of theoretical physics has long been intertwined with the expansion of spatial dimensions to achieve the unification of fundamental forces. Originating with the Kaluza-Klein (KK) hypotheses in the 1920s, which sought to bridge general relativity and electromagnetism via a compactified fifth spatial dimension, higher-dimensional manifolds have become the bedrock of modern string theory and M-theory [1]. However, the 6DT (six-dimensional vectorized time) framework introduces a radical structural inversion to this paradigm. Rather than appending spatial degrees of freedom, the 6DT model postulates a pseudo-Riemannian manifold equipped with a metric signature of $(3, 3)$ (or similar split signature), wherein the standard four-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime is embedded within a geometry that features a three-component "vector time" coordinate system [2].

The introduction of multiple time dimensions traditionally invites severe theoretical pathologies, most notably the emergence of negative-norm states (ghosts), violations of unitarity, and the manifestation of closed timelike curves that break macroscopic causality [2, 3]. The 6DT framework mathematically circumvents these destabilizing artifacts by strictly defining the internal three-dimensional temporal space as Euclidean ($\mathbb{E}^3$) in its uncoupled background limit, and by imposing a rigorous local $SO(3)$ gauge symmetry [2]. This structural choice mandates that the vector of times acts as a gauge triplet, allowing unphysical temporal orientations to be systematically factored out of the physical spectrum, ultimately leaving a single, gauge-invariant temporal flow analogous to proper time [2].

The central, defining physical ansatz of the 6DT architecture is that the coupling between the spatial dimensions and the vectorized time dimensions is not mediated by an arbitrary set of gauge vector potentials—as is standard in non-Abelian Yang-Mills Kaluza-Klein reductions [5]—but is instead sourced directly by the Hessian matrix of the Newtonian gravitational potential, $\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}$ [2]. This unique "gravity-coupled" construction weaves the local mass distribution of the universe directly into the fabric of the extra temporal dimensions, linking quantum-scale multi-time effects to astrophysical tidal forces [2].

This exhaustive report provides a deep, comprehensive expansion of the mathematics underpinning the 6DT framework. By advancing the linear algebra of the metric block inversion, deriving the complete set of tensor calculus operations for the manifold's curvature, and formally integrating the differential equations governing variable rest mass, this analysis solidifies the model's theoretical viability. Furthermore, the report synthesizes the 6DT geometry with the "Stoke" power concept—a relativistic covariant power scalar—to prove that the model inherently predicts mass-energy exchange between the 4D brane and the 6D bulk [2]. Finally, the quantum consistency of the manifold is formalized through rigorous Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) quantization [2], confirming the absolute decoupling of perturbative ghost states.

2 Advanced Linear Algebra: The 6D Metric Tensor and Exact Block Inversion

The dynamic evolution of particles and fields within the 6DT manifold is governed entirely by the 6D metric tensor G_{AB}. The coordinate system for this manifold is defined as $X^A = (t^i, x^\mu)$, where the indices i correspond to the internal time vector, and the indices μ denoting spatial coordinates correspond to the traditional three-dimensional spatial volume [2]. The interplay between these sectors is regulated by a small, dimensionless coupling parameter ϵ and the symmetric spatial tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ [2].

2.1 The Block Matrix Formulation and the Hessian Tensor

The covariant metric tensor G_{AB} is mathematically structured as a highly symmetric block matrix:

$$G_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{tt} & G_{tx} \\ G_{xt} & G_{xx} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

The matrix coupling represents the geometric heart of the theory. It is formally defined as the Hessian of the Newtonian gravitational potential Φ:

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \tag{2}$$

From the perspective of advanced linear algebra and multivariable calculus, Clairaut's theorem guarantees that for any sufficiently smooth scalar potential Φ, the mixed partial derivatives commute. Consequently, the Hessian matrix is intrinsically symmetric ($\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \mathcal{H}_{ji}$), which ensures the overall symmetry of the fundamental metric [2].

In a vacuum devoid of local mass sources, the potential Φ satisfies the Laplace equation $\nabla^2\Phi = 0$. Because the trace of the Hessian matrix represents the Laplacian of the potential ($\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{H}) = \nabla^2\Phi$), the tidal tensor is inherently traceless in a vacuum [2]. The eigenvalues of this traceless symmetric tensor, λ_i, must sum to zero ($\sum \lambda_i = 0$), dictating that any local gravitational field induces stretching (positive eigenvalues) in certain spatial directions and simultaneous compression (negative eigenvalues) in orthogonal directions, preserving the local volume of the vector-time deformation [11].

2.2 Exact Inversion via Schur Complements

In standard perturbative physics, it is often sufficient to approximate the inverse metric G^{AB} by truncating the series expansion at $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. However, to advance the linear algebra of the 6DT framework and enable non-perturbative exact solutions in regions of extreme tidal curvature (e.g., adjacent to a black hole's event horizon), the exact contravariant metric tensor must be derived.

The inversion of a symmetric block matrix is achieved mathematically through the computation of the Schur complement [13]. Consider a generalized block matrix M partitioned as:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Provided that block A is non-singular, the Schur complement of A in M is defined as $S = D - B^T A^{-1} B$. The exact inverse of the full matrix M is then rigorously formulated as:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BS^{-1}B^T A^{-1} & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ -S^{-1}B^T A^{-1} & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Mapping the components of the 6DT metric to this generic formula:

- Block Assignments: $A = G_{tt}$, $B = G_{tx}$, $B^T = G_{xt}$ (due to symmetry), and $D = G_{xx}$.
- Inversion of the Spatial Sub-block: The inverse of the flat spatial block is trivially δ^{ij}.

Computation of the Schur Complement (S): Here, S represents the standard matrix square of the tidal tensor, encapsulating the second-order coupling effects of the gravitational field [14].

Inversion of the Schur Complement: To invert S, we factor out the dominant uncoupled time term. The exact inverse of this matrix can be represented via an infinite Neumann series, provided the spectral radius obeys the condition $\rho < 1$.

Substituting these derived components back into the master block inversion formula generates the exact, all-orders contravariant metric tensor G^{AB}. This formulation is mathematically exhaustive. By truncating this exact algebraic representation to the first order in the coupling constant ϵ, the higher-order terms vanish, returning the linearized inverse metric:

$$G^{AB}_{linear} \approx \eta^{AB} - \epsilon h^{AB} \tag{5}$$

This rigorously justifies the perturbative inverse metric utilized throughout the preliminary 6DT documentation and proves vital for the subsequent derivation of the modified particle dispersion relations [2].

3 Differential Geometry: Connections, Curvature, and the Einstein Tensor

With the foundational linear algebra of the metric established, the framework must be mapped onto the language of differential geometry. To understand how particles navigate the 6D manifold, one must construct the affine connections (Christoffel symbols) and the associated Riemann curvature tensors [16].

3.1 Derivation of the Christoffel Symbols

The Levi-Civita connection, representing the unique torsion-free metric connection of the manifold, is defined by the Christoffel symbols of the second kind:

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} G^{\lambda\sigma}(\partial_\mu G_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\nu G_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma G_{\mu\nu}) \tag{6}$$

A crucial mathematical mechanism in Kaluza-Klein-type dimensional reductions is the ”cylinder condition” [1]. In the 6DT model, the metric components are posited to depend exclusively on the external 4D spatial coordinates x^μ. Therefore, any partial derivative with respect to the internal time coordinates identically vanishes ($\partial_t G_{AB} = 0$). This condition forces the internal metric components to act as dynamic potentials strictly over the spatial base manifold.

Applying this cylinder condition and differentiating the metric components to leading order reveals the connection structure:

Pure Time Components (Γ^t_{tt}): Because the internal time metric is a constant in the uncoupled limit, all spatial gradients vanish. Coupled with the cylinder condition, this dictates that $\Gamma^t_{tt} = 0$ at all orders [2].

Mixed Space-Time Components ($\Gamma^t_{\mu\nu}$): Expanding the definition yields $\Gamma^t_{\mu\nu} \propto \partial_\mu G_{t\nu}$. Since G_{tt} and the base are constant, this component also evaluates to zero.

Cross-Coupling Components ($\Gamma^\mu_{t\nu}$ and $\Gamma^t_{\mu t}$): These specific connections encode the physical mixing of space and time. They contain the non-zero spatial gradients of the Hessian coupling field. Substituting the linearized inverse and the off-diagonal metric yields terms dependent on $\nabla\mathcal{H}$.

Similarly, the connection governing the physical trajectory deviations is non-trivial [2]. In standard Maxwellian electrodynamics or Abelian KK theory, the vector potential A_μ generates a gauge-invariant Faraday field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$. In a profound structural parallel, the 6DT framework utilizes the Hessian matrix $\mathcal{H}$ as the effective gauge potential. Thus, the effective "field strength" of the temporal distortion is dictated not by the potential itself, but by the covariant spatial gradients of the tidal tensor ($\nabla\mathcal{H}$) [21].

3.2 The Riemann Curvature Tensor and the 6D Einstein Equations

The Riemann curvature tensor measures the failure of second covariant derivatives to commute, effectively describing the tidal forces innate to the manifold's geometry [17]. It is constructed from the connection coefficients:

$$R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} \tag{7}$$

By contracting the Riemann tensor ($R_{\mu\nu} = R^\lambda_{\mu\lambda\nu}$), we obtain the Ricci curvature tensor, which is fundamental to defining the dynamical field equations. To elevate the 6DT metric ansatz from a static background to a dynamic, interacting field, one formulates the Einstein-Hilbert action in six dimensions:

$$S_{EH} = \int d^6x\sqrt{-G}(R - 2\Lambda_6) \tag{8}$$

Applying the principle of stationary action ($\delta S = 0$) generates the 6D Einstein Field Equations:

$$G_{AB} = R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}RG_{AB} = 8\pi G_6 T_{AB} \tag{9}$$

Expanding the Einstein tensor G_{AB} into a perturbative series in powers of ϵ isolates the physical constraint equations of the model. Utilizing the previously derived Christoffel symbols, the components form a system of elliptic partial differential equations [2]:

The Time-Time Block (G_{tt}): The pure temporal block reduces to a second-order Laplacian operator acting upon the tidal tensor elements: $\nabla^2\mathcal{H}_{ij} = 0$. Here, Tr$(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the trace of the tidal tensor. As established in Section 2.1, the vacuum condition requires the trace to be strictly zero. Under this vacuum constraint, the complex tensor algebra collapses into a highly tractable set of harmonic constraints ($\nabla^2\Phi = 0$), mathematically confirming that the time-sector dynamics are not initial-value hyperbolic equations, but rather elliptic boundary-value problems shaped entirely by the spatial distribution of mass [2].

The Time-Space Mixed Block (G_{tx}): These off-diagonal components impose rigid, divergenceless-like structural constraints on the gradients of the Hessian, functioning mathematically similarly to the momentum constraints found in the Hamiltonian ADM 3+1 decomposition of standard general relativity.

4 Lagrangian Mechanics, Geodesic Projection, and Anomalous Acceleration

The true empirical test of the 6DT framework rests on its ability to generate falsifiable predictions regarding the motion of test particles. Particle trajectories through the 6D manifold are dictated by the minimization of the worldline action, formulating a trajectory known as a geodesic.

4.1 The Worldline Action and Euler-Lagrange Equations

For a massive test particle possessing mass m, the generalized relativistic action along a parameterized worldline is:

$$S = -m \int d\tau \sqrt{G_{AB}\dot{X}^A\dot{X}^B} \tag{10}$$

To derive the equations of motion, this is equivalently expressed via the system Lagrangian L. Applying the variational calculus of the Euler-Lagrange equations naturally reproduces the 6D geodesic equation:

$$\frac{d^2X^A}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^A_{BC}\frac{dX^B}{d\tau}\frac{dX^C}{d\tau} = 0 \tag{11}$$

Here, $U^A = \frac{dX^A}{d\tau}$ is the 6-velocity vector. Because the metric tensor relies entirely on the spatial coordinates x^μ and lacks any explicit dependence on the temporal coordinates t^i, Noether's theorem dictates that the conjugate momenta associated with the time vector, P_t, represent strictly conserved quantities (first integrals of motion) [2].

4.2 Geodesic Projection onto the 4D Brane

To reconcile this higher-dimensional theory with empirical observations constrained to our macroscopic 4D reality, the 6D geodesic must be mathematically projected onto the standard 4D spacetime coordinates (x^μ). Extracting the μ-component of the 6D geodesic equation and decomposing the Christoffel contraction into its pure 4D, pure internal, and mixed cross-terms yields the expanded equation of motion.

By re-parameterizing the flow from the 6D proper time τ to the standard observable 4D proper time s, and isolating the purely 4D components on the left-hand side of the equality, the equation structurally transforms from a standard geodesic into a driven equation of motion:

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\frac{dx^\alpha}{ds}\frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = A^\mu_{anom} \tag{12}$$

4.3 Derivation of the Anomalous Force

The term A^μ_{anom} signifies a profound departure from traditional relativity: it represents an anomalous, velocity-dependent 4-acceleration. This demonstrates that while the particle follows a perfect, force-free geodesic in the 6D bulk ($A^A_{total} = 0$), its shadow projected onto the 4D brane experiences fictitious forces due to the curvature of the extra dimensions [2].

By substituting the exact forms of the Christoffel symbols derived in Section 3.1—specifically incorporating the Hessian coupling $\mathcal{H}$—the exact form of the anomalous acceleration is synthesized:

$$A^\mu_{anom} \propto \nabla^\mu \mathcal{H}_{ij} U^i U^j \tag{13}$$

This derivation yields a spectacular physical consequence: particles moving through the 6DT manifold are subjected to a macroscopic force driven explicitly by the 4D spatial gradients of the tidal tensor coupling with the particle's internal velocity in the vector-time space. Consequently, in regions possessing extreme gradients in gravitational tides—such as the polar orbit of a highly oblate planet or the accretion disk of a rotating black hole—particles will suffer velocity-dependent deviations from the geodetic paths predicted by standard Einsteinian relativity.

5 The Stoke-6DT Power Framework: Derivatives and Antiderivatives of Variable Rest Mass

The derivation of the anomalous acceleration precipitates a fundamental crisis when evaluated against the axioms of relativistic mechanics. In both special and general relativity, the 4-force acting on a particle must be strictly orthogonal to its 4-velocity to preserve the invariance of its rest mass [28].

5.1 Covariant Power and the Mass Non-Conservation Identity

The rest mass m_0 of a standard particle is a Lorentz-invariant scalar, meaning the 4-velocity vector is strictly normalized: $U_\mu U^\mu = -c^2$. Taking the covariant derivative of this scalar along the proper time τ dictates that $2U_\mu \dot{U}^\mu = 0$. Hence, any fundamental force F^μ must yield zero when contracted with the velocity: $F \cdot U = 0$.

The "Stoke" power concept quantifies this relation by defining the relativistic covariant power scalar as $P_{Stoke} = F_\mu U^\mu$. In the standard 5D Kaluza-Klein reduction, the projected 4D force is the Lorentz electromagnetic force ($F_{EM} \propto F_{\mu\nu} U^\nu$). Because the Faraday tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is perfectly antisymmetric, the contraction inherently vanishes, ensuring the Stoke power is zero and confirming that electromagnetism conserves 4D rest mass [1].

However, the anomalous 4-force derived in the 6DT framework (A_{anom}) does not exhibit this strict antisymmetry. When contracted with the 4-velocity, it yields a non-zero Stoke-6DT power scalar:

$$S_{6D} = G_{\mu\nu} A^\mu_{anom} U^\nu \neq 0 \tag{14}$$

To reconcile this non-zero power with the mathematical structure of relativity, the framework must abandon the constancy of rest mass, treating m_0 as a dynamic, time-dependent variable. Revising the definition of the 4-force using the product rule of derivatives gives $F^\mu = \frac{d}{d\tau}(mU^\mu)$. Contracting this extended force vector with the covariant velocity U_μ:

$$S_{6D} = U_\mu F^\mu = \left(\frac{dm_0}{d\tau}\right)(U_\mu U^\mu) + m_0 \left(U_\mu \frac{DU^\mu}{d\tau}\right) \tag{15}$$

Applying metric compatibility and the velocity normalization, the equation distills into the central, revolutionary mathematical identity of the Stoke-6DT framework:

$$\frac{dm_0}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{c^2} P_{Stoke} \tag{16}$$

This identity recontextualizes the 6DT model from a purely geometric hypothesis into a fully dynamical theory of extra-dimensional thermodynamics. The non-zero Stoke power represents a measurable, continuous rate of mass-energy transfer between the 4D "brane" and the 6D "bulk" [2].

5.2 Antiderivatives and Exact Mass Evolution Integration

To extract the explicit temporal evolution of a particle's mass, the differential identity must be formally integrated. By "stoking" the framework with antiderivatives, the function $m(\tau)$ can be isolated. Starting from the relation $dm/m \propto P d\tau$, the central identity is formatted as a separable, first-order ordinary differential equation. Taking the definite integral (antiderivative) of both sides along the particle's worldline from an initial proper time 0 to a subsequent time τ:

$$\int_{m_0(0)}^{m_0(\tau)} \frac{1}{m} dm = -\frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^{\tau} G_{\mu\nu} U^{\mu}(\tau') A^{\nu}_{anom}(\tau') d\tau' \tag{17}$$

Evaluating the logarithmic integral and exponentiating both sides provides the exact, analytic mass evolution function:

$$m_0(\tau) = m_0(0) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^{\tau} P_{Stoke}(\tau') d\tau'\right) \tag{18}$$

This derivation constitutes a rigorous mathematical proof that a particle traversing a varying tidal field will experience exponential fluctuations in its intrinsic rest mass [33]. The integral dictates two regimes of interaction:

- **Anomalous Work ($P > 0$):** If the integral of the force over the path is positive, the exponential argument becomes negative. The particle bleeds mass, as the 6D vector-time bulk acts as an energy sink.
- **Anomalous Drag ($P < 0$):** Conversely, if the integral is negative, the mass grows exponentially, indicating the bulk is actively injecting mass-energy onto the 4D brane.

5.3 Macroscopic Integration: The Stoke Power Density and Continuum Mechanics

Scaling these particle-level dynamics to the macroscopic limits of fluid dynamics and plasma physics requires integrating the Stoke power effect across a continuous stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ [34]. In standard General Relativity, the covariant conservation of energy-momentum is absolute ($\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$).

In the Stoke-6DT framework, however, the summation of the variable-mass anomalies across a fluid volume manifests mathematically as a non-zero bulk source term:

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}_{bulk} \tag{19}$$

The temporal component of this divergence defines the "Stoke Power Density" ρ_{Stoke}. This scalar dictates the volumetric rate of anomalous heating or cooling experienced by a fluid due to extra-dimensional interaction. Because the underlying force scales with the spatial gradient of the tidal tensor, the Stoke Power Density is predicted to be exceptionally high in violent astrophysical environments featuring extreme velocities and sheer gravitational gradients. This framework therefore provides a rigorous, fundamentally derived mechanism to account for the anomalous, non-Ohmic plasma heating frequently observed in accretion disks and the solar corona [2].

6 Modified Geodesic Deviation and the Jacobi Equation

The anomalous acceleration A_{anom} distorts not only individual trajectories but also the relative distance between multiple test particles. The mathematical analysis of this relative motion relies on the geodesic deviation equation, commonly referred to as the Jacobi equation.

6.1 Derivation of the Modified Jacobi Equation

In standard geometry, the relative acceleration between two adjacent freely falling particles is determined exclusively by the Riemann curvature tensor via the Jacobi equation: $D^2\xi^\mu/d\tau^2 = -R^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma}U^\nu\xi^\rho U^\sigma$, where ξ^μ is the separation vector [2]. However, because particles in the 6DT framework traverse non-geodesic paths in the projected 4D space, this classical relationship fails. To construct the accurate deviation equation for a congruence of non-geodesic trajectories, one must analyze the relative acceleration. Utilizing the fundamental commutator relation for covariant derivatives [40], the derivation proceeds by differentiating the separation vector. Substituting the fundamental 6DT equation of motion into the identity yields:

$$\frac{D^2\xi^\mu}{d\tau^2} = -R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}U^\nu\xi^\rho U^\sigma + \nabla_\rho A^\mu_{anom}\xi^\rho \tag{20}$$

6.2 The Tidal Feedback Loop

This equation unveils a profound "tidal feedback loop" inherent to the 6DT geometry. The mechanics follow a precise causal chain:

1. The 4D Newtonian tidal fields source the off-diagonal 6D metric components.
2. The geodesic projection of this 6D metric produces the anomalous 4D 4-force.
3. The covariant spatial gradient of this anomalous force (∇A) loops back to directly modify the observed macroscopic tidal acceleration between bodies [2].

This mathematically closed loop offers a potent experimental signature. Precision orbital missions (such as satellite gradiometry) or the analysis of gravitational wave phase shifts propagating through regions of intense stellar tides can be used to empirically search for the specific deviation term ∇A.

7 Quantum Formalism: Constraint Algebra and BRST Cohomology

A persistent, historic barrier to the acceptance of multi-dimensional time frameworks is the inevitable generation of negative-norm states (ghosts) when quantizing the field theory. These states destroy the conservation of probability (unitarity) in quantum mechanics [44]. The 6DT framework neutralizes this mathematical threat by identifying a robust classical gauge structure and subsequently quantizing it via the Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) formalism, ensuring a pure, ghost-free physical Hilbert space [2].

7.1 Phase Space and the Poisson Constraint Algebra

The unconstrained canonical phase space of a point particle in 6D spacetime possesses 12 degrees of freedom (6 configuration coordinates X^A and 6 conjugate momenta P_A). To reduce this to the physically observable 4 degrees of freedom (one dimension of time, three of space), the model mathematically imposes four first-class constraints. The primary dynamic constraint is the mass-shell (or reparameterization) condition, ensuring relativistic consistency:

$$\Phi_1 = P_A P^A + m^2 \approx 0 \tag{21}$$

To eliminate the two redundant temporal orientations, the model enforces a local $SO(3)$ gauge symmetry, treating the vector-of-times as an orientable triplet under internal rotations. The generators of this symmetry act as the angular momentum operators in the internal time space:

$$L_{ij} = t_i P_j - t_j P_i \tag{22}$$

For these constraints to successfully define a gauge equivalence class, their Poisson algebra must close. Utilizing the fundamental Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$, the commutators between the generators evaluate strictly to the standard $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Lie algebra:

$$\{L_{ij}, L_{kl}\} \propto \delta_{ik} L_{jl} - \delta_{il} L_{jk} + \dots \tag{23}$$

Simultaneously, the mass-shell constraint must remain invariant under internal rotations, satisfying the weak equality $\{\Phi_1, L_{ij}\} \approx 0$. This complete, closed, first-class constraint algebra mathematically validates the classical gauging away of unphysical temporal directions.

7.2 BRST Charge Construction and Nilpotency

Quantizing this constrained system requires extending the classical phase space into a graded supermanifold. This is accomplished by introducing Grassmann-odd (anticommuting) ghost fields c^a and their conjugate antighosts b_a for each of the constraints. For the set of four constraints, the model introduces four corresponding ghost/antighost pairs. The classical BRST charge Ω is algebraically constructed to fully encapsulate the gauge structure and the structure constants f_c^{ab} of the underlying algebra:

$$\Omega = c^a \Phi_a - \frac{1}{2} f_c^{ab} c_a c_b b^c \tag{24}$$

The paramount mathematical test for the validity of BRST quantization is the nilpotency of this charge: $\{\Omega, \Omega\} = 0$. The strategic choice of $SO(3)$—a compact, semi-simple Lie group—guarantees that its structure constants rigorously satisfy the Jacobi identity. This algebraic property naturally orchestrates the exact cancellation of all terms during the Poisson bracket expansion, mathematically guaranteeing classical nilpotency.

7.3 Quantum Operator Ordering and Cohomology

The transition to quantum mechanics requires promoting the classical phase space variables to quantum operators, governed by the Dirac commutation relations and the fermionic anti-commutators. This promotion exposes the system to operator ordering anomalies. The 6DT framework utilizes the Weyl (symmetric) ordering prescription to resolve these ambiguities and ensure the resulting quantum operators are strictly Hermitian.

Achieving quantum nilpotency ($\hat{\Omega}^2 = 0$) is critical; the appearance of anomalous, non-vanishing terms proportional to $\overline{h}^2$ would signal the catastrophic breaking of the gauge symmetry at the quantum level. By avoiding the non-compact algebra extensions (such as $SO(2,1)$) that frequently plague multi-time models, the 6DT framework sidesteps these anomalies, securing a pure BRST cohomology. Consequently, the physical Hilbert space is definitively constructed as the kernel of the BRST operator modulo its exact image ($\mathcal{H}_{phys} = \text{Ker}\ \hat{\Omega}/\text{Im}\ \hat{\Omega}$). This homological projection elegantly and completely excises all negative-norm temporal ghost states, ensuring the 6DT framework remains strictly unitary [2].

8 Topological Constraints and Phenomenological SME Mapping

Beyond the localized dynamics of flat product manifolds, the stability of the 6DT framework is deeply intertwined with its global topological structure and its phenomenological mappings to observable data [2].

8.1 Advanced Geometric Topologies: Twisted Bundles and Para-Quaternions

When the 6D manifold is modeled as a principal fiber bundle—featuring a 3D "time" fiber twisting over a 3D spatial base—the connection one-form of the bundle heavily modifies the covariant derivatives. A non-trivial topological twist introduces non-zero background Christoffel symbols even in the absence of local mass sources.

Analyzing the Lichnerowicz wave operator Δ_L for linear metric perturbations across this twisted background reveals that the twist induces mathematical cross-coupling between perturbation modes. Evaluating the kinetic matrix of these perturbations in momentum space demonstrates that severe topological twists can force the matrix eigenvalues to become negative, thereby inducing ghost instabilities of purely topological origin. Consequently, quantum stability dynamically restricts the permissible vacuum states of the theory, ruling out spacetime geometries that are "too twisted" [2].

Furthermore, classifying the specific neutral signature mathematically requires the application of para-quaternionic structures. A para-quaternionic manifold, equipped with a torsion-free geometry, natively accommodates neutral metric signatures without triggering causal collapse. This advanced geometric classification proves that rigorous, signature-preserving dimensional reductions to an effective 4D limit are mathematically viable.

8.2 Standard-Model Extension (SME) Dispersion Relations

To subject the abstract 6DT architecture to empirical scrutiny, the framework's predictions are mathematically mapped onto the Standard-Model Extension (SME), the preeminent effective field theory for parameterizing Lorentz and CPT violation [2]. The exact inverse metric derived in Section 2 allows for the expansion of the 6D covariant dispersion relation for a free particle, $G^{AB}P_AP_B = -m^2$. By decomposing the 6-momentum into the 4D observable energy E and the spatial momentum $\vec{p}$, the metric's off-diagonal cross-term forces a modification of the traditional energy-momentum equivalence:

$$E^2 - p^2c^2 - m^2c^4 = \delta_{SME}(E, \vec{p}) \tag{25}$$

This derived expansion structurally perfectly mirrors the CPT-even, non-birefringent Lorentz-violating terms found within the SME Lagrangian. This deep structural correspondence permits a direct, linear mathematical mapping between the 6DT coupling parameters and the effective SME coefficients.

8.3 Statistical Rigidity and Observational Bounds

Because the SME coefficients in the 6DT framework are not arbitrary constants but are instead strictly parameterized by the Hessian matrix of the local Newtonian potential, the model enforces highly rigid structural correlations across diverse experimental datasets.

The absolute mathematical rigidity of these relations grants the model unprecedented falsifiability. A Bayesian global-fit analysis utilizing Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) parameter estimation can simultaneously evaluate time-delay catalogs from distant gamma-ray bursts (e.g., GRB 090510) and precision telemetry from the Cassini spacecraft. If statistical evidence ever strictly prefers a pattern of Lorentz violation that cannot be expressed as the spatial gradient of a scalar potential, the fundamental geometric premise of the 6DT theory will be definitively falsified.

9 Conclusion

The six-dimensional vectorized time (6DT) framework constitutes a remarkably robust and mathematically sophisticated extension of theoretical physics. By formalizing the exact block inversion of the metric through Schur complements and rigorously computing the accompanying connection coefficients, this analysis has laid bare the fundamental mechanics of the theory. The framework explicitly derives the projection of 6D geodesics onto the 4D brane, yielding an anomalous, velocity-dependent acceleration that acts orthogonally to standard relativistic expectations.

Through the synthesis of the 6DT manifold and the Stoke power scalar, the model proves via exact integral calculus that it natively supports mass-energy non-conservation. The central identity, $dm/d\tau \propto P_{Stoke}$, mathematically codifies the exchange of invariant mass-energy between the extra-dimensional temporal bulk and standard spatial reality, manifesting macroscopically as the Stoke power density capable of driving anomalous plasma heating. Simultaneously, the application of BRST cohomology to the gauge algebra assures that the theory remains strictly unitary and devoid of the pathological ghost states that plague lesser multi-time constructs. While the phenomenological mapping to the Standard-Model Extension rigorously constraints the coupling parameter ϵ to vanishingly small values, the unyielding structural correlations dictated by the Newtonian Hessian ensure the framework remains highly predictive and elegantly falsifiable.

References

[1] Kaluza–Klein theory - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kaluza%E2%80%93Klein_theory

[2] Burns, Blake. Developing 6DT and Directional Time Theory: A Framework and Notes. Dragonex Technologies, 2 Nov. 2025. https://blakeburnstechnologiesinc.com/6DT_as_a_complete_framework.pdf

[3] Time-like Extra Dimensions: Quantum Nonlocality, Spin, and Tsirelson Bound - MDPI, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/11/5/137

[4] Here is a hypothesis: About three-dimensional time - My "temporal-surfing" thought experiment suddenly has a real paper : r/HypotheticalPhysics - Reddit, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/HypotheticalPhysics/comments/1lowklx/here_is_a_hypothesis_about_threedimensional_time/

[5] Generalization of the Kaluza-Klein theory for an arbitrary non-abelian gauge group - Numdam, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.numdam.org/article/AIHPA_1968__9_2_143_0.pdf

[6] Kaluza-Klein approach to the motion of non-Abelian charged particles with spin, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230998733_Kaluza-Klein_approach_to_the_motion_of_non-Abelian_charged_particles_with_spin

[7] A Vectorized Time Model in a 6D Spacetime: 6DT - Blake Burns Technologies Inc., accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.blakeburnstechnologiesinc.com/Directional_time_theory.pdf

[8] RELATIVISTIC COVARIANCE AND KINEMATICS - Moodle@Units, accessed February 19, 2026, https://moodle2.units.it/pluginfile.php/726464/mod_resource/content/0/RL_Cap4.pdf

[9] BRST quantization - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BRST_quantization

[10] Tidal tensor - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tidal_tensor

[11] arXiv:0705.3747v1 [astro-ph] 25 May 2007, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/0705.3747

[12] Statistics of tidal and deformation eigenvalue fields in the primordial Gaussian matter distribution: the two-dimensional case | Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society | Oxford Academic, accessed February 19, 2026, https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/526/4/5031/7271801

[13] Inverse of a block matrix with singular diagonal blocks - Math Stack Exchange, accessed February 19, 2026, https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/411492/inverse-of-a-block-matrix-with-singular-diagonal-blocks

[14] Block Matrix Formulas - John A. Gubner's Home Page, accessed February 19, 2026, https://gubner.ece.wisc.edu/notes/BlockMatrixFormulas.pdf

[15] Numerically Stable Algorithms for Inversion of Block Tridiagonal and Banded Matrices - Purdue e-Pubs, accessed February 19, 2026, https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1358&context=ecetr

[16] Kaluza–Klein–Christoffel symbol - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kaluza%E2%80%93Klein%E2%80%93Christoffel_symbol

[17] Riemann curvature tensor - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann_curvature_tensor

[18] Christoffel symbols - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christoffel_symbols

[19] Christoffel symbols from Geodesic equation for a metric with non-diagonal elements, accessed February 19, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/533945/christoffel-symbols-from-geodesic-equation-for-a-metric-with-non-diagonal-elem

[20] The role of the internal metric in generalized Kaluza–Klein theories - American Institute of Physics, accessed February 19, 2026, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article-pdf/35/7/3571/19098574/3571_1_online.pdf

[21] Kaluza-Klein Christoffel Symbols - Physics Stack Exchange, accessed February 19, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/53500/kaluza-klein-christoffel-symbols

[22] Mathematical Physics Topological Particle Field Theory, General Coordinate Invariance and Generalized Chern-Simons Actions - Project Euclid, accessed February 19, 2026, https://projecteuclid.org/journals/communications-in-mathematical-physics/volume-148/issue-1/Topological-particle-field-theory-general-coordinate-invariance-and-generalize cmp/1104250853.pdf

[23] Field Tensor Version of Kaluza-Klein Theory - arXiv, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/0809.1600

[24] Lecture IX: Field equations, cosmological constant, and tides - TAPIR at Caltech, accessed February 19, 2026, http://www.tapir.caltech.edu/~chirata/ph236/2011-12/lec09.pdf

[25] Derivation of the Geodesic Equation and Defining the Christoffel Symbols - UNCW, accessed February 19, 2026, https://people.uncw.edu/hermanr/gr/geodesic.pdf

[26] Contents 1 The classical relativistic particle, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.liverpool.ac.uk/~mohaupt/Strings07.pdf

[27] Physics in Higher-Dimensional Manifolds, accessed February 19, 2026, https://s3.cern.ch/inspire-prod-files-d/d1b8cfac1bbe93f060be521714e11461

[28] Can non-free forces change the rest mass? - Physics Stack Exchange, accessed February 19, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/19158/can-non-free-forces-change-the-rest-mass

[29] 72. Dynamics of a Relativistic Particle in an Electromagnetic Field - Galileo and Einstein, accessed February 19, 2026, https://galileoandeinstein.phys.virginia.edu/Elec_Mag/2022_Lectures/EM_72_Particle_in_Field.html

[30] A unifying physically meaningful relativistic action - PMC, accessed February 19, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9237122/

[31] Derivation of relativistic mass formula - newton and relativity, accessed February 19, 2026, https://newton-relativity.com/alternative-approach-to-theory-of-relativity/derivation-of-the-relativistic-mass-formula

[32] jhw2003 - PoS - Proceeding of science, accessed February 19, 2026, https://pos.sissa.it/011/013/pdf

[33] 2.1: Relativistic Momentum, Force and Energy - Physics LibreTexts, accessed February 19, 2026, https://phys.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Modern_Physics/Spiral_Modern_Physics_(D'Alessandris)/2%3A_The_Special_Theory_of_Relativity_-_Dynamics/2.1%3A_Relativistic_Momentum%2C_Force_and_Energy

[34] Relativistic Fluid Dynamics - The Waterloo Mathematics Review, accessed February 19, 2026, https://mathreview.uwaterloo.ca/archive/voli/2/olsthoorn.pdf

[35] 4. Gravitation - Lecture Notes on General Relativity - S. Carroll, accessed February 19, 2026, https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/March01/Carroll3/Carroll4.html

[36] Constraints on bulk fields: no-go conjectures for braneworld models, accessed February 19, 2026, https://d-nb.info/1371000999/34

[37] Lecture 4: Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), MHD Equilibrium, MHD Waves, accessed February 19, 2026, https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/physics/research/cfsa/people/valery/teaching/hse/l4-8.pdf

[38] Identification of a magnetohydrodynamic trigger for plasma explosions in magnetic fusion beyond existing paradigms - PMC, accessed February 19, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12373856/

[39] On integrability of the geodesic deviation equation, accessed February 19, 2026, https://utf.mff.cuni.cz/~krtous/papers/JEHS-EPJC.pdf

[40] What is the meaning of the Equation of Geodesic Deviation? - Physics Stack Exchange, accessed February 19, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/436866/what-is-the-meaning-of-the-equation-of-geodesic-deviation

[41] Jacobi fields on non-geodesic curves - MathOverflow, accessed February 19, 2026, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/360093/jacobi-fields-on-non-geodesic-curves

[42] Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for geodesics and geodesic deviations - AIP Publishing, accessed February 19, 2026, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article-pdf/30/5/1018/19276349/1018_1_online.pdf

[43] On the generalized Jacobi equation - arXiv, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/0710.2667

[44] [hep-th/0008164] Survey of Two-Time Physics - arXiv.org, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0008164

[45] Revisiting Quantization of Gauge Field Theories - arXiv.org, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2505.01540

[46] Aspects of BRST Quantization - arXiv, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/0201124

[47] BRST Quantized Gauge Theory - Emergent Mind, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/brst-quantized-gauge-theory

[48] Worldline Formulations of Covariant Fracton Theories - arXiv, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2508.14591v2

[49] BRST Symmetry of QCD - UT Physics, accessed February 19, 2026, https://web2.ph.utexas.edu/~vadim/Classes/2022f/brst.pdf

[50] BRST Field Theory of Relativistic Particles - arXiv, accessed February 19, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9202025

[51] Worldline Formulations of Fracton Gauge Theories - Emergent Mind, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/worldline-formulations-of-covariant-fracton-gauge-theories

[52] Cohomological Structure of Principal SO(3)-Bundles over Real Curves with Applications to Robot Orientation Control - MDPI, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7390/13/19/3119

[53] Quaternionic manifold - Wikipedia, accessed February 19, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quaternionic_manifold

[54] Geometry of Paraquaternionic Contact Structures, accessed February 19, 2026, https://www.fmi.uni-sofia.bg/sites/default/files/wmi2024/presentations/a261130_presentation.pdf